June Lake Short-Term Rentals

Policy Direction

June 14, 2017

Ground Rules

- Be respectful and civil
- Represent yourself and your own opinion/intentions
- Participate positively
- Give all ideas an honest chance
- Seek understanding



Policy Direction Workshop

- ✓ Neighborhood Workshops
- ✓ Review & Initial Sorting
- Analysis of Solutions
- Policy Direction for neighborhoods (other than Clark)
- Is there any consensus on Clark Tract issues?

Calendar

- Policy Direction: June 14, 1-4 pm
- Review Draft Area Plan Policies: June 28, 6-9 pm
 - Draft policies for all areas except Clark Tract
 - Identify policy direction for Clark Tract
- Clark Tract policy review: Mid-July?
- Add CAC Review & Recommendation: Special July Meeting? Aug. 2?
- Planning Commission: August 17 (or Sept)
- Board of Supervisors: September 5, 12 or 19 (or Oct)

County Perspective on Short-Term Rentals

- Community-based planning
- Basis: General plan and area plan policies
 - Develop into a moderately-sized, self contained, year-round community
 - Provide residents and visitors with quality housing, a wide array of housing alternatives designed to promote unique experiences, and year-round housing stock; and promote adequate affordable housing.
- Research/best practices/other jurisdictions:
 - Rarely find "right" or "wrong" answers
 - The "best" answer is one tailored to meet community character & needs

County Perspective

- Context was different when original policy was approved
 - Economic crash
 - "Sharing Economy" model
 - Burden on applicant for buy-in and cost: County is reactive
- Context has changed
 - Sharing economy has evolved into a mature industry, e.g., purpose of Type I's
 - Economy has improved (marginally)
 - Burden on County for buy-in and cost → Community-Based Planning

Policy Development

- Neighborhood character: Things to protect, the WHY of the policy
- Negatives: Things to prevent, avoid, mitigate, control, minimize
- Positives: Things to take advantage of, reap benefits of
- Solutions: How do we get there?
 - Can we protect character, minimize negatives, take advantage of positives?
 - These solutions provide policy direction.

The process is MESSY!

It is not quantitative or black and white.

It requires the weighing of options, input, and trade-offs in pursuit of the best possible outcome.

Solutions Analysis:

Categories:

- 1. Low-Hanging Fruit
- 2. Not Viable
- 3. For Discussion:
 - a) Community-wide (all of June Lake)
 - b) Neighborhood specific
- 4. Are these sorted right?
- 5. Deeper Discussion: Revenue streams & Enforcement

Local Government Revenue Sources

- Not applicable
 - Property-related fee or charge: for a specific service
 - User fee: service or product provided directly to a person (e.g. paramedics)
 - Fee for use of government property
 - Development Fee: applies to new construction & must be reasonably related to cost of impacts

Taxes

General, specific, TOT, sales, property, etc.

- Cannot be applied to a private use or improvement: "gift of public funds"
- Applicable to: government services enforcement, paramedics, housing (government owned)
- New tax subject to voter approval
 - May be county-wide vote???
- Higher allocation of existing funds to June Lake = Board budget discussion

Zone of Benefits/Assessment

- Charge collected for a directly-related public improvement
- Can be applied to private roads
- Fee based on engineer's report of project cost, divided equally among properties
- A higher fee can only be charged to a specific property if the engineer's report can identify an impact or use of that property that increases the project cost
- Specific discussions to form ZOBs are being held separately

Regulatory Fee/Fine or Penalty

- Charge imposed for a regulatory program related to a use
- Applicable to enforcement
- Allows for an annual cost to fund ongoing activities (i.e, inspections, etc.), as well as enforcement actions

Enforcement

- Regulatory fee can provide for:
 - More staff, expanded hours
- Finding Violators/Reporting low hanging fruit
 - Host Compliance LLC: data mining to bring short term rentals into compliance
 - County Department coordination (within legal bounds)
 - Prohibit advertising...?

Conclusions About Solutions?

- Does the sorted list still stand as is?
- Can we add any detail to the tax or enforcement categories?
- Further questions, more solutions?
- Should the "low-hanging fruit" be applied?
- Is there consensus to apply any other solutions at this time?
- Move on to applying solutions to neighborhoods...?

Policy Development

- Neighborhood character: Things to protect, the WHY of the policy
- Negatives: Things to prevent, avoid, mitigate, control, minimize
- Positives: Things to take advantage of, reap benefits of
- Solutions: How do we get there?
 - Can we protect character, minimize negatives, take advantage of positives?
 - These solutions provide policy direction.

The process is MESSY!

It is not quantitative or black and white.

It requires the weighing of options, input, and trade-offs in pursuit of the best possible outcome.

Can some neighborhoods be resolved?

- Leonard Avenue Area: Clear consensus to allow
- ► Highlands: Specific Plan application to allow on hold
- Petersen Tract: No Type IIs, at most limited Type I's with restrictions/fees or prohibit entirely
- 158 Hillside: existing rentals, one email in opposition
- Williams Tract: one email in opposition
- Dream Mountain: CCRs?

Clark Tract

- Valid opinions on both sides of issue
- What are the trends in the Clark Tract?
- Is there a mix of solutions that could minimize negatives and take advantage of positives in the Clark Tract?

Calendar

- Policy Direction: June 14, 1-4 pm
- Review Draft Area Plan Policies: June 28, 6-9 pm
 - Draft policies for all areas except Clark Tract
 - Identify policy direction for Clark Tract
- Clark Tract policy review: Mid-July?
- Add CAC Review & Recommendation: Special July Meeting? Aug. 2?
- Planning Commission: August 17 (or Sept)
- Board of Supervisors: September 5, 12 or 19 (or Oct)