

## **Draft Commercial Cannabis Issues, Opportunities, and Constraints**

Board of Supervisors, Oct. 3, 2017

### **COUNTYWIDE LAND USE: ISSUES, OPPORTUNITIES & CONSTRAINTS**

16. In 2016, the voters of California passed Proposition 64, legalizing the adult use, production, interstate transportation, and commercial activity of cannabis, including cultivation of up to six plants for personal use. The proposition was also passed by each voter precinct in Mono County, although by a smaller margin in Bridgeport and the Tri-Valley, and passed in the county overall. In the aftermath of this vote, Mono County had choices to 1) allow the State to regulate all activities with no local requirements, 2) ban activities in part or whole, or 3) develop local regulations. The County chose to develop local regulations and has jurisdiction over only privately held lands; state, federal and tribal lands are outside the County's jurisdiction.
17. Cannabis activities continue to be illegal under Federal law. The 2013 "Cole Memo" from the Department of Justice indicates federal enforcement should focus on the following priorities: prevent distribution of cannabis to minors; prevent cannabis revenue from funding criminal enterprises, gangs or cartels; prevent cannabis from moving out of states where it is legal; prevent the use of state-legal cannabis sales as a cover for illegal activity; prevent violence and use of firearms in growing or distributing cannabis; prevent drugged driving or exacerbation of other adverse public health consequences associated with cannabis use; prevent growing cannabis on public lands; and prevent cannabis possession or use on federal property. Thus, these priorities, which have merit beyond the Cole Memo, should be addressed by and the focus of County regulations to the extent possible.
18. The State of California, through three new licensing authorities, is implementing a robust permitting and regulatory process for commercial cannabis activities, including fees and taxation. To be effective, Mono County's regulations should work in concert with the State's broader regulations and requirements, and must be prepared to handle new components such as the "track and trace" system, testing requirements, and the collaboration between departments that is required for a successful new regulatory program.
19. Concerns expressed during two rounds of public input at Regional Planning Advisory Committee (RPAC) meetings about commercial cannabis activity include disruption of the sense of place, impacts to quality of life, lack of enforcement, aesthetic and visual impacts, use of pesticides and fertilizers harmful to the environment, personal safety and crime potential, odor nuisance, potential impact to families and children, water usage and discharge, energy usage, waste material, and that cannabis activities continue to be federally illegal. Public input indicated a preference to allow cultivation for personal use under state standards without any additional local regulations.
20. A particular concern emphasized by public input and public health officials is the particular vulnerability of children to the effects of cannabis use, and that the presence of cannabis plants or products may be an attractive nuisance for children. The potential impacts to children should be evaluated and managed within the complete context of substances of concern, such as alcohol and other controlled substances.
21. Opportunities expressed during two rounds of public input at Regional Planning Advisory Committee meetings about cannabis activity include a potential new economic opportunity for businesses, new jobs, new revenue for the County, and potential land value increases.

22. Cannabis licensing generally falls into six broad categories, including cultivation, manufacturing, distribution, testing, dispensary, nursery and microbusinesses. A variety of Land Use Designations are necessary to accommodate all these licenses and provide for the full economic supply chain for the cannabis industry. In addition, each of these activity types requires the consideration of issues are unique to the cannabis industry that otherwise generally do not exist for the activity in general. Such considerations may include odor nuisance, security and protecting against the potential for the criminal element, specific regulation and inspection of agricultural operations, access by and attractiveness for minors, track and trace requirements, and testing and labeling requirements.
23. An integrated and complete regulatory package for oversight of commercial cannabis activities includes consideration of federal laws, state regulations, other local agencies and jurisdictions, and other County departments. The coordination and collaboration required for consistency throughout all levels requires a dedicated effort and active partnerships.

#### **AGRICULTURE, GRAZING, AND TIMBER: ISSUES, OPPORTUNITIES & CONSTRAINTS**

6. Cannabis is a new commodity that could provide a significant economic opportunities for the agricultural industry, if carefully implemented within the constraints of state regulations and existing general plan policies, and with the recognition of inconsistencies between state and federal law. Also see Countywide Issues, Opportunities and Constraints in the Land Use Element.
7. Between the Cole Memo, State regulations, and community concerns, cannabis cultivation raises issues such as odor control, pesticide and fertilizer use, security and protecting against the potential for the criminal element, and track and trace compliance, among other issues, that require the industry be regulated differently from any other agricultural crop.
8. Cannabis oversight should be closely coordinated with the Inyo-Mono Agricultural Commissioner's office, who has significant responsibility under the state's regulatory framework for cannabis cultivation and for agricultural operations in general.