



MEETING MINUTES  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF MONO  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Regular Meetings: The  
First, Second, And Third  
Tuesday of each month

Regular Meeting

County Courthouse,  
Bridgeport, CA 93517

March 1, 2011

9:00 AM Meeting called to Order by Chairman Hazard.

Pledge of Allegiance led by Supervisor Johnston.

**OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD**

**Deana Jerdee, Desert Mountain RC&D (presenting from Mammoth Lakes):**

- Recently awarded a \$100,000 grant to complete a broadband study on behalf of Inyo, Mono and Kern counties.
- Approached by three counties to work on this grant. Been working with county staff, consultants have been hired, focus groups, GIS mapping, residential and business surveys.
- Just wanted to apprise Board that this was getting underway in early spring. Hoping to have a draft product sometime this summer with a final draft this September.
- She has been working with our I.T. department.

Supervisor Bauer:

- Reminded her that we have a very detailed GIS system already in place.

Supervisor Hazard:

- Who have you been working with?
- Indicated there may be some form of conference needing to be done in June? Maybe to be held in Mammoth? Opportunity for us to highlight and participate in Broadband discussions.

**BOARD MEMBER REPORTS**

**All Board Member Reports deferred due to time constraints.**

**COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE**

- 1) CAO Report regarding Board Assignments (David Wilbrecht)  
ACTION: Receive brief oral report by County Administrative Officer (CAO) regarding his activities.

**Dave Wilbrecht:**

- Worked on solid waste committee issues.
- Was out all last week.

- 2) APPROVAL OF MINUTES

**M11-36** ACTION: Approve Minutes, with correction as noted below, of the Regular

meeting held on February 8, 2011.  
**Hunt moved, Johnston seconded**  
**Vote: 5 Yes; 0 No**

**Corrections to Minutes:**

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- Item 14a (New Entry Sign for the Town of Mammoth Lakes) page 15 of minutes, on 6<sup>th</sup> bullet point, change Supervisor Hazard's comments from "courthouse" to "future county building".

**Additional Discussion:**

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- The way Closed Session Items are listed/reported to be changed?
- Item 8d – the motion reads 3-0, 2 against. Shouldn't it be 3-2 against? Clerk mentioned that there was going to be a lot more detail in the motions, beginning with the 2/15/11 meeting.
- Supervisor Bauer suggested we look at the next set of minutes with the additional detail to see if that addresses the problem.

**Dave Wilbrecht:**

- Asked for clarification regarding Larry's concern regarding the closed session item(s) and how they are listed. You can have a lot of detail or very little detail.
- It will be good to have this discussion at the board meeting on 3/15/11.

**Closed Session: 9:13 a.m.**

**Break: 10:00 a.m.**

**Reconvene: 10:06 a.m.**

**Lunch: 12:45 p.m.**

**Reconvene to Economic Development Corporation Meeting: 1:28 P.M.**

**Reconvene to Regular Board of Supervisors Meeting: 1:33 p.m.**

**Break: 3:18 p.m.**

**Reconvene: 3:30 p.m.**

**Adjourn: 5:32 p.m.**

**CLOSED SESSION**

***There was nothing to report out of closed session.***

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

- 3a) Closed Session--County Counsel - CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – ANTICIPATED LITIGATION. Initiation of litigation pursuant to subdivision (c) of Government Code section 54956.9. Number of potential cases: 1.
- 3b) Closed Session--County Counsel - CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL – EXISTING LITIGATION. Subdivision (a) of Government Code section 54956.9. Name of case: County of Mono v. J3 Partners *et. al.*
- 3c) Closed Session--Human Resources - CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS. Government Code Section 54957.6. Agency designated representative(s): Dave Wilbrecht, Marshall Rudolph, Brian Muir, and Jim Arkens. Employee Organization(s): Mono County Sheriff's Officers Association (aka Deputy Sheriff's Association), Local 39--majority representative of Mono County Public Employees (MCPE) and Deputy Probation Officers Unit (DPOU), Mono County Paramedic Rescue Association (PARA), Mono County Public

Safety Officers Association (PSO), and Mono County Sheriff Department's Management Association (SO Mgmt). Unrepresented employees: All.

## **DEPARTMENT REPORTS/EMERGING ISSUES**

(PLEASE LIMIT COMMENTS TO FIVE MINUTES EACH)

### **Lynda Roberts:**

- Office is publishing notices in papers about the third meeting in Mammoth; Supervisor Hazard asked that a copy of that notice be emailed to all supervisors.
- She received an email from her election clerk yesterday regarding additional reimbursements for January special election, some legislation out there at state level to get reimbursements.
- Should her office create a "New Board Member" packet for future use? (Both Supervisor Johnston and Hansen were happy with being new board members and what they received when they became board members.)

### **Jeff Walters:**

- Results of Social Services analysis: initial results from geoprobes is much less. Letter being sent to LaHontan regarding further monitoring. He will address further during mid-year budget review.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

(All matters on the consent agenda are to be approved on one motion unless a board member requests separate action on a specific item.) – 4a pulled

## **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT - PLANNING DIVISION**

- 4a) Antelope Valley RPAC Appointments - As recommended by Supervisor Hansen, consider appointments of three new members to the Antelope Valley RPAC.

**NO MOTION Action:** Item pulled for discussion; no motion made.

### **Supervisor Johnston:**

- It wasn't clear from staff reports how many members were on the RPAC member list?
- He'd like to see us consistent with legislation.
- He'd like to see more detail on future staff reports.
- How long are these people to be appointed for?
- Asked that we hold off on voting on this until Tony Dublino can come explain.

### **Supervisor Bauer:**

- There are formal and non-formal organizations.

### **Supervisor Hansen:**

- He'd like to see this go forward.

### **Supervisor Hazard:**

- All RPACS are different; anything the Board is approving needs to be kept current.
- How can we do this? We need to maybe bring this back.

### **Dave Wilbrecht:**

- There are dependent and non-dependent groups. CSA's for example are easy for the Board to keep track of; Water Districts are not because we are not really responsible for

these.

COUNTY COUNSEL

**Additional Departments:** Finance Department

5a) Approval of Finance Department Facsimile Signature - Proposed resolution #R11 - 12 of the Mono County Board of Supervisors adopting a facsimile signature for the Treasurer & Tax Collector's Office to utilize in the filing of liens.

**R11-12 Action:** Adopt proposed resolution #R11-12 adopting a facsimile signature for the Treasurer & Tax Collector's Office to utilize in the filing of liens.  
**Hunt moved; Bauer seconded**  
**Vote: 5 Yes, 0 No**

REGULAR AGENDA

CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED (INFORMATIONAL)

All items listed are available for review and are located in the Office of the Clerk of the Board

CLERK OF THE BOARD

6a) No Correspondence

\*\*\*\*\*

HUMAN RESOURCES

7a) Human Resources - County Counsel (Jim Arkens, Marshall Rudolph) - Proposed resolution #R-\_\_\_\_\_, approving an employment agreement with Stacey Simon and prescribing the compensation, appointment, and conditions of said employment.

**M11-37 Motion Denied**  
**Action:** Motion Denied. See Minutes for details of discussion.  
**Bauer moved; Hunt seconded**  
**2 Yes: Bauer and Hazard; 3 No: Johnston, Hansen, and Hunt.**

**Amendment offered by Johnston and rejected by Bauer.**

**Jim Arkens:**

- Stacey Simon's contract renewal.
- As noted, no change in salary, it's her standard contract being renewed.
- The Management Compensation Policy is being presented at the 3/15/11 meeting.

**Supervisor Bauer:**

- Thanked her for all her extra work.
- Disagrees with faulting one person; she doesn't think that this should be decided today.
- Difference between salary and other benefits in these contracts.
- She understands the position that Supervisor Hansen is in.
- We're seeing a difference between process and policy.

**Supervisor Hansen:**

- What about the possibility of lowering salaries for at-will employees as necessary?
- Hates to approve another contract without changing language to be able to “adjust salaries” as necessary.
- Where does that leave us for the future?
- There is no comfortable way to do this.

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- Item #3, Second sentence – change to read “any subsequent adjustments in salary”.

**Supervisor Hunt:**

- We live in very serious budgetary times.
- He suggests freezing any new contract obligations until the 15<sup>th</sup>. After policy made, go forward.
- He would like to see the contracts coming up to be ready to be voted on by 3/15/11 after the policy discussion.

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- Is there a reason we can't put off approving contracts until after the 15<sup>th</sup>?

**Marshall Rudolph:**

- Staff may have misunderstood the Board's desired approach to the concept of changing standard terms for at-will contracts to allow salary decreases; staff thought that the Board wanted to continue approving contract renewals containing the current standard terms while the process was ongoing of discussing proposed changes to those terms.
- Until a change is made in the standard contract terms to allow for salary decreases, the approved contracts will not be subject to any future downward adjustments the board may wish to make.
- The other area where current contract terms limit the Board's discretion is the auto allowance; contracts provide for the base allowance to vary with the IRS mileage rate, but the Board could not simply eliminate the allowance altogether. Other benefits are generally linked to policies that can be changed whenever the Board wishes.

**Stacey Simon:**

- She respects the need for the Board to make sound fiscal decisions.

**Dave Wilbrecht:**

- 23 county survey process has been done in the light of day – the supervisors are very committed to the county and understand the fiscal crises.
- He thinks all of this needs to be done in a very open process – do all contracts at once and not one at a time.
- It's not about the individuals but the fiscal responsibilities that are at issue.
- Postponing contracts is a good thing. On the 15<sup>th</sup> the Board will hopefully be given a few options.

**PUBLIC WORKS**

8a)

Authorization to Bid for the Owens Gorge Road Rehabilitation Project (Garrett Higerd) - This project includes approximately 4.2 miles of asphalt rehabilitation on Owens Gorge Road from Highway 395 near Tom's Place to the north side of the Crowley Lake dam. The project also includes installation of mailbox assemblies, snow poles, signage, concrete curb, and driveway transitions; and, placement of pavement markings.

- M11-38**      **ACTION:** Approve bid package, including the project manual and project plans, for the Owens Gorge Road Rehabilitation Project. Authorize the Public Works Director to advertise an Invitation for Bids and to issue the project for bid.  
**Hunt moved; Johnston seconded**  
**4 Yes, 0 No, 1 abstain: Hazard**

(Supervisor Hazard recused himself due to conflict of interest turning gavel over to Vice-Chair Bauer.)

**Garrett Higerd:**

- Project that's been in the works for awhile.
- Explained location and scope of project: to grind existing asphalt and lay it back down; also includes new mailbox assemblies.
- Asking for approval today of bid package.
- Expects project to be constructed as soon as weather permits, before price of oil goes up.
- Phil Touchstone will be working on this as on-site resident engineer, hopefully to save money.
- They generally use local publications to request bid submittals. They also have a contractor list that they send to.

**Supervisor Hunt:**

- Asked about doing ALL of that area, is that a possibility?

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- Appreciates local contractors being used.
- Mailbox issues with Postmaster?
- Resident engineer great idea.
- How are local contractors being solicited? Is there a system in place? He's hoping for something more fast forward than just general advertising. Is there any incentive allowed?
- When you do the bicycle part, could the bicycle community be involved?

**Supervisor Bauer:**

- Did we consolidate something else to move this forward?

**Kelly Garcia:**

- They installed mailboxes to replace current mailboxes in their STIP project. Postmaster at the time was on board.

**Marshall Rudolph:**

- We are governed by the Public Contract's Code for this sort of public works project – we are required to award the contracts to the lowest responsible (and responsive) bidder – there is no leeway to offer local preferences.
- The Public Contract Code is a state law; it would require state legislation to change this.

- 8b) Offer of Dedication and Housing Mitigation for Parcel Map No. 37-189, DeFlyer (Garrett Higerd) - Receive staff report regarding Planning Commission approval of Parcel Map 37-189, which subdivided a 15.52-acre parcel (APN 026-200-047-000) in Chalfant into four parcels of 3.03, 3.01, 2.53 and 6.95 acres in size.

- M11-39**      **Action:** 1. Reject on behalf of the public the offer of dedication for road,

drainage, and public utility purposes and authorize Clerk's signature on Parcel Map No. 37-189; and 2. Approve and authorize Chair to sign Housing Mitigation Agreement and Declaration of Restrictive Covenants (Deed Restriction).

**Hunt moved; Hansen seconded**

**4 Yes, 1 No: Johnston**

**Hap resumed control of meeting.**

**Garrett Higerd:**

- Parcel map in Chalfant, approved by Planning Commission. Here this morning to look at offer of dedication.
- Recommends that the Board reject the offer of dedication but to approve the Housing Mitigation Agreement and Declaration.

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- Deed restriction should reference Chapter 16 of County Code.
- If size of second unit not referenced somewhere, could cause future problems.
- How big is parcel three? (2.53 acres)
- Should we include a specific size limit?

**Scott Burns:**

- Met with clients.
- Workshop next week.
- The DeFlyers are subject to the current requirements.

**Stacey Simon:**

- Already an executed agreement by the DeFlyers.
- The secondary unit would have to be approved by the Planning Department.
- They have a map already in place that imposes condition.
- Discussing dedication of roadways and the declaration.

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- Thinks a policy discussion might be appropriate.
- Is this only on the dedication of the roadways?

8c) Status Update on Hammil Valley Emergency Services Facility Budget Package (Garrett Higerd) - Status update of conceptual design for proposed Hammil Valley Emergency Services Facility.

**Action: None.**

**Garrett Higerd:**

- This project has been moving forward and there have been a lot of good comments.
- Original draft from Architect was good, maybe too detailed; have since been working with local consultant to trim down the cost.
- Timing – wanted to make sure there was an update prior to mid-year budget discussions.

**Mark Mikulicich:**

- Objective is to build this slowly and stay ahead of potential demand.
- Continuing to beat the bushes out in the area i.e. White Mountain Fire to see about

additional funding.

- They are taking advantage of what they can without committing to anything too big too soon.
- It's probably about a \$600,000 per station cost.

**Supervisor Hunt:**

- How fast should we proceed?

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- To update new supervisors, this goes back a long way. (Gave history of project.)
- Since going through the Citizen's approach, he has seen the continuation of the loss of EMTs; this whole project is supported by simple need.
- Diagram in packet is a Cadillac plan that includes everything possible.
- They continue to try to move this forward with as little general fund impact as possible.
- He just wanted to update the Board today and keep everyone informed; could go from back burner to front burner quickly.
- Tri-Valley, Chalfant and Benton might qualify for some funding.
- Mary Booher – income survey does not support CDBG funding. It was very close. CDBG is going to be changing the way they fund grants. She will be attending a webinar in a couple weeks.
- EMT vs. Paramedic station, difference would be in salaries, not the station per se.
- He fears that something will happen next week (i.e. the loss of the one EMT in Benton) and we'd have to step up and address the need of the community.
- He is just trying to incrementally chip away at this.

**Supervisor Hansen:**

- He thinks it is good to be looking ahead. What kind of grant funding available?

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- What does it cost to run an EMT station?
- Paramedics aren't required as a service.
- Are you thinking this is going to happen anytime soon? Would the county have the money to do this?
- People coming from other areas sometimes have unrealistic expectations as to the types of services that should be available to them when they move here.

**Supervisor Bauer:**

- She'd like to define thresholds. Every community determining how often they'd need this type of service.
- There needs to be some stats showing the need for this type of station.
- There would have to be a financial turnaround to fund another medic station.

**Marshall Rudolph:**

- Idea of hiring a consultant to address DIF fees.

8d) Graves Purchase - Close of Escrow (Mary Ellen Halpin) - Consummate purchase of real property from the Olive Mae Graves Family Trust for the Mountain Gate River Parkway. Approve and authorize Chairman's signature on Resolution for purchase and authorize payment into escrow.

**R11-13**  
**M11-40**

**Action:** 1. Approve and authorize the Chairman's signature on Resolution No. 11-13, "A Resolution of The Mono County Board Of Supervisors Approving The Purchase Of Certain Real Property In The Walker Area Of The County (Portions

of APN 002-140-038) And Authorizing The Public Works Director To Sign A Certificate Of Acceptance For A Deed Conveying Said Property To The County And To Take Such Other Actions As May Be Necessary To Close Escrow”  
2. Authorize the Auditor-Controller to make payment to escrow in the amount of \$77,000 to be reimbursed by the California Natural Resources Agency under Mono County’s River Parkway Grant. Authorize Public Works to potentially reimburse surveying and engineering services obtained by Graves to address modifications to PM31-86 necessitated by the County’s purchase, contingent upon proper documentation of said services, in the amount up to and not to exceed \$2,500. Authorize Public Works to pay processing fees for amendment to PM31-86 resulting from modifications necessitated by the County’s purchase, in the amount of \$2,850.

**Hunt moved; Hansen seconded**

**Vote: 5 Yes, 0 No**

**Mary Ellen Halpin:**

- Explained item.

8e) Proposed Expansion to Walker Community Center (Kelly Garcia) - Proposed expansion to the Walker Community Center.

**Action:** None.

**Kelly Garcia:**

- Met with community in several different forums.
- There are two items coming up in the next weeks: first in budget requesting that money previously set aside to build a new community center be utilized (a portion) to initiate a partnership with the Lions Club to do an expansion of the community center; and secondly an adjourned meeting in Walker to look at different alternatives.
- There is approximately \$100,000 already set aside in CIP.
- There was a community wide survey, about 11% mailed them back and 33% of those responses think expansion is needed; cost of survey = the amount of first class postage and some staff time.

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- He thinks the survey might be a good idea to send to all communities.

**Supervisor Hansen:**

- The citizens in North County are really behind this expansion.
- Wants to keep this separate from economy issue.

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- There are a lot of good projects, but the budget must be taken into account.

**Supervisor Hunt:**

- Now is the time for planning – looking ahead, keeping projects ‘planned’ but maybe not spending a lot of money right now.

**URGENCY  
ITEM  
M11-41**

**URGENCY ITEM:**

**ACTION:** Move that the Board determine that there is a need to take immediate action with respect to the proposed agenda item (submittal of the California Forest Highway Project Application to rehabilitate 9.2 miles of Rock Creek Road), that the need for action came to the County’s attention subsequent to the agenda being posted and therefore, that the Board add the item to the agenda.

**Johnston moved; Hunt seconded**

**Vote: 5 Yes, 0 No**

**Kelly Garcia:**

- Plans need to be to CalTrans by tomorrow.

**M11-42**

**ACTION:** Approve submittal of the California Forest Highway Project Application to rehabilitate 9.2 miles of Rock Creek Road and authorize Jeff Walters, Director of Public Works, to execute said application on behalf of the County.

**Hunt moved; Bauer seconded**

**Vote: 5 Yes, 0 No**

8f)

Letter of Support for an Immediate and Comprehensive Tax Swap Solution (Jeff Walters and Mary Booher) - Two letters have been drafted recommending Mono County's representatives in the Legislature to enact a comprehensive fix

to the transportation tax swap. These letters recommend validating the tax provisions as contained in AB 8X 6 (Tax Provisions), approve the transfer of Transportation Weight Fees from the State Highway Account to the General Fund and reenact a revised AB 8X 9 (Allocation Formulas). Without passing this comprehensive package the loss of \$2.5 billion in revenue would jeopardize transportation projects in California, thousands of jobs, and negatively impact the overall economic well being of the State.

**M11-43**

**Action:** Approve draft letters of support urging the California Legislature to enact a comprehensive fix to the transportation tax swap in order to save billions of dollars in transportation investments critical to retain jobs and support economic recovery in California.

**Bauer moved; Hansen seconded**

**Vote: 5 Yes, 0 No**

**Jeff Walters:**

- Jeff went over proposed draft letter and discussed slight changes.

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- Kristin Olsen's name spelled incorrectly.
- Wants to add language before final paragraph. He explained reasons for this.
- He's not opposed to sending draft letter but feels the bigger issue does need to be addressed.

**Supervisor Bauer:**

- We can't change the discussion any further than what was originally proposed.
- She doesn't think we can add to the existing letter.

**Supervisor Hansen:**

- Is this part of everything else going on in Sacramento?

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- We need to send this letter and get this passed.

COUNTY COUNSEL

**Additional Departments:** Supervisor Hansen

9a)

Walker River Water Leasing Demonstration Program (Stacey Simon) - Letter to Walker River Irrigation District regarding Water Leasing Demonstration Program.

**No Motion.**

**Action:** None.

**Stacey Simon:**

- She gave update on some recent developments.
- In Jan. the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation provided the Walker River Irrigation District with a proposed agreement for transfer of funds to implement this water leasing demonstration program.
- 40 page grant agreement brought to the WRID Board in February, our Board had not seen or commented on the draft previously. Not approved.
- This is a leasing program proposed as an alternative to litigation and outright purchase of water.

- The program could have positive and/or negative impacts to Mono County, depending on its implementation. Doesn't want potential positives to be overlooked.
- Went over parts of grant agreement (price for water, terms, etc.).
- Meeting on 3/3/11 – she plans to go.
- There is a proposed letter in packet requesting engagement with the WRID regarding the program in California. Either send today or wait a week or two so she can update Board on what happens at meeting.
- The goal is to hold water throughout the summer, releasing in November and beyond. Likely that reservoirs would be full longer.

**Supervisor Hansen:**

- Might be good to wait and see what happens at the meeting before sending any letters.

**Supervisor Bauer:**

- She doesn't mind sending the letter as it's very benign.

**Roberta Reed:**

- Asked about previous minimum lake level agreements.

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

- 10a) Federal and State Budgets (Board Members) - The Board of Supervisors may discuss the Federal budget and the California State budget and potential impacts to Mono County.

**Action:** None.

\*\*\*\*\*  
LUNCH  
\*\*\*\*\*

**OPPORTUNITY FOR THE PUBLIC TO ADDRESS THE BOARD**

Adjourn to Economic Development Corporation Agenda (separate agenda and minutes).

**FINANCE**

- 11a) Midyear Budget Review (Roberta Reed) - Presentation by individual departments regarding the status of their budgets as of December 31, 2010

**Action:** None.

**Roberta Reed, Finance Department:**

- Explained the mid-year budget process.
- Provided information on the Solid Waste Fund and how it has affected reserves, etc.
- She clarified information about Mammoth Mountain Ski Area and payment of current taxes.

**Marshall Rudolph:**

- Asked to be excused from the budget proceedings.

**Dave Wilbrecht:**

- Mentioned that he is taking notes on all of Supervisor Johnston's questions and will

make sure they get answered.

**Supervisor Hazard:**

- He explained this is a mid-year budget review and not really to discuss the already decided upon budget allocations.
- He'd like to see calling out what the actual expenditures are to date and where there may be shortfalls/surplus per item.
- Not sure some of the items Supervisor Johnston is interested in is in the scope of the mid-year budget review.

**Supervisor Johnston:**

- Wanted to have a general fund revenue discussion.
- Where do we stand with reserve? Last year, this year, the future?
- Discussed Solid Waste funds, asked for revision to projection.
- From each Department, he'd like to hear about current contracts, where contractor is located in county, overtime policy/expenditures, expenditures in capital improvements, expenditures on vehicles? Vehicle usage reduction efforts? Cost saving efforts by employees.

**Supervisor Bauer:**

- Explained the differences between June Lake and Mammoth with revenue in taxes.

**DEPARTMENT REPORTS:**

Department Heads reviewed their budgets and answered questions:

**Paramedics, Mark Mikulicich**

- Went over department goals/progress.
- Explanation regarding TOT calculations.
- Supervisor Hazard discussed the future of the program being dependent on clients.
- Answered questions about overtime – why so much, is this unusual?
- Overtime is currently an MOU issue and could be reduced during meet and confer.

**Information Technology, Clay Neely**

- Went over department goals/progress.
- Answered questions about Capital Equipment, GIS positions, A87 costs, software costs, where are companies located that we use, how long are the contracts?
- Supervisor Bauer: location of where A87 is on the income statement, can it be moved?

**Assessor, Jody Henning**

- Gave information regarding updated goals/objectives. Mentioned that she really needs to fill Mapping position that Pete Eilertsen vacated.
- Questions answered about the Mapping position and that this needs to be agendized; Mammoth Mountain Assessment Appeals and the dollars at risk – this also needs to be brought back to the board.

**Animal Control, Nancy Boardman**

- Went over goals/objectives.
- Discussion about training costs, determined that Nancy needs to cover training needs with current budget and to also explore other options for future training
- Questions answered about open positions.
- Nancy spoke about future ideas for Bridgeport Shelter.

**Economic Development, Dan Lyster**

- Dan Lyster (from Mammoth CAO conference room) went over goals/objectives. In the absence of a position, there are certain things that are not being done.
- Answered questions about contract services for tourism and professional and

specialized services, special department expense.

**PUBLIC WORKS, Jeff Walters**

**Public Works:**

- Kelly Garcia went over CIP projects left on list that haven't been started yet (p. 170 of mid-year budget review binder).
- Answered questions about Rural Cops, consulting services, CAMS accounting system and the location of company, status of vehicle purchase and how this might not be "acceptable" to the public in these budgetary times,
- Discussion regarding the use of local contractors vs. out of state/country. Jeff Walters has a list of vendors and there are quite a few that are local. May need to be revisited on a future agenda.
- Roberta Reed explained that the money that replaces the vehicles doesn't come from general fund; now it comes from the motor pool fund; used vehicles are sold at auction.

**Road:**

- Kelly Garcia went over CIP projects left on list for Road budget (p. 171).
- Answered questions from the public regarding pedestrian bridge projects, funding sources, ATV event costs and what monies are actually being spent, fuel supply and ideas to rework that.
- Discussion regarding Bridgeport 4<sup>th</sup> of July funding and other "special" projects that may need to be addressed differently. May need to be isolated into one budget category. Costs spent within communities should be transparent; i.e. discretionary funds.
- Budget was scaled way back and this might not be able to continue.
- Discussion regarding new policy items and how these might not be able to be approved at this time with possibly the exception of ADA projects and ideally within this budget cycle. There was a suggestion that maybe the Office of Education could help cover some miscellaneous costs.
- It was suggested that policy items be tabled until Thursday. Public Works should go through CIP list and have a history done.

ADJOURNMENT: 5:32 p.m.

- Hold board reports and hold item 4a

ATTEST:

---

DUANE "HAP" HAZARD, CHAIR

---

SHANNON KENDALL  
SR. DEPUTY CLERK OF THE BOARD

§§§§§